



# A "well-balanced" finite volume scheme for blood flow simulation

Olivier Delestre, Pierre-Yves Lagrée

## ► To cite this version:

Olivier Delestre, Pierre-Yves Lagrée. A "well-balanced" finite volume scheme for blood flow simulation. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 2013, 72 (2), pp.177-205. 10.1002/fld.3736 . hal-00701888

**HAL Id: hal-00701888**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00701888>**

Submitted on 27 May 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A "well-balanced" finite volume scheme for blood flow simulation

O. Delestre<sup>\*,†</sup>, P.-Y. Lagrée<sup>†</sup>

May 27, 2012

## Abstract

We are interested in simulating blood flow in arteries with a one dimensional model. Thanks to recent developments in the analysis of hyperbolic system of conservation laws (in the Saint-Venant/ shallow water equations context) we will perform a simple finite volume scheme. We focus on conservation properties of this scheme which were not previously considered. To emphasize the necessity of this scheme, we present how a too simple numerical scheme may induce spurious flows when the basic static shape of the radius changes. On contrary, the proposed scheme is "well-balanced": it preserves equilibria of  $Q = 0$ . Then examples of analytical or linearized solutions with and without viscous damping are presented to validate the calculations. The influence of abrupt change of basic radius is emphasized in the case of an aneurism.

**Keywords** blood flow simulation; well-balanced scheme; finite volume scheme; hydrostatic reconstruction; man at eternal rest; semi-analytical solutions; shallow water

## 1 Introduction

As quoted by Xiu and Sherwin [86] the one dimensional system of equations for blood flow in arteries was written long time ago by Leonhard Euler in 1775. Of course, he noticed (see Parker [64]) that it was far too difficult to solve. Since then, the pulsatile wave flow has been understood and is explained in classical books such as Lighthill [50] and Pedley [65]. More recently a huge lot of work has been done and a lot of methods of resolution have been proposed to handle this set of equations, among them [81], [88], [73], [62], [44] [42] [71], [86], [25], [83], [58], [27], [15], [1], [16], [84], [84, 55]... and we forgot a lot of actors. Even if recent progress of fluid structure interaction in 3D have been performed (Gerbeau *et. al.* [24], Van de Vosse *et. al.* [79], among others), those advances have not made the 1-D modelisation obsolete. On the contrary, it is needed for validation, for physical comprehension, for boundary conditions, fast

---

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Delestre@unice.fr, presently at: Laboratoire de Mathématiques J.A. Dieudonné (UMR CNRS 7351) – Polytech Nice-Sophia, Université de Nice – Sophia Antipolis, Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice cedex 02, France

<sup>†</sup>CNRS & UPMC Université Paris 06, UMR 7190, 4 place Jussieu, Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert, Boîte 162, F-75005 Paris, France

or real time computations and it is always relevant for full complex networks of arteries ([88], [73], [69], [62, 63]) or veins ([28]) or in micro circulation in the brain [67].

In most of the previous references it is solved thanks to the method of characteristics, to finite differences or finite volumes (that we will discuss and improve in this paper), or finite elements methods (Galerkin discontinuous). Each method has its own advantages.

In the meanwhile, the set of shallow water equations (though younger, as the equations have been written by Adhémar Barré de Saint-Venant in 1871 [18]) have received a large audience as well. They are used for modelling the flow in rivers [31], [12] (and networks of rivers), in lakes, rain overland flow [20, 21], [75], in dams [77, 78] or the long waves in shallow water seas (tides in the Channel for example [70], or for Tsunami modelling [23], [68]). It has been observed that Saint-Venant equations with source terms (which are the shape of the topography, and the viscous terms) present numerical difficulties for steady states. The splitting introduced by the discretisation creates an extra unphysical flow driven by the change of the topography. A configuration with no flow, for example a lake, will not stay at rest: thus, the so called equilibrium of the "lake at rest" is not preserved. So new schemes, called "well-balanced" have been constructed to preserve this equilibrium. Bermudez and Vazquez [6, 5] have modified the Roe solver to preserve steady states. Other ways to adapt exact or approximate Riemann solver to non-homogeneous case have been proposed by LeVeque [47] and Jin [37]. Following the idea of the pioneer work of Greenberg and LeRoux [32], [30] and [29] have proposed schemes based on the solution of the Riemann problem associated with a larger system (a third equation on the bottom topography variable is added). A central scheme approach has been developed in [41]. In Perthame and Simeoni [66], the source terms are included in the kinetic formulation. In [3] and [8], a well-balanced hydrostatic reconstruction has been derived. It can be applied to any conservative finite volume scheme approximating the homogeneous Saint-Venant system. In [82], [17], [8] and [52, 51] the friction source term is treated under a well-balanced way. More recently, in [45], the system of shallow water with topography is rewritten under a homogeneous form. This enables them to get Lax-Wendroff and MacCormack well-balanced schemes. With well-balanced methods, spurious current does not appear.

Thus we will follow those authors and construct by analogy a similar method but for flows in elastic tubes rather than for free surface flows. Even if for artery flows the wave behaviour of the solution is very important (forced regime with lot of reflexions), we have in mind complex networks. In this case we will have to deal from the arteries to the veins with eventually microcirculation in the brain. In the smaller and softer vessels, the 1D approximation is still valid, the pulsatile behaviour is less important, and there are lot of changes of sections (across stenosis, aneurisms, valves). Those changes of sections have to be treated numerically with great care, which has not been the case up to now in the litterature.

So here, we will follow the authors of the Saint-Venant community and construct by analogy a similar method but for artery flow. At first we derive the equations written in conservative form, looking in the literature, we found that it has been only written by [83] in a PhD thesis and by [15], [16] but not exploited in the "well-balanced" point of view. Mostly ([86], [55]), equations are

in fact written in a non-conservative form. With non-conservative schemes, we have consistency defaults and shocks are not uniquely defined, see among others [36], [7] and [13]. Furthermore, the discharge  $Q$  is not conserved. Not a lot of attention has been attached to this problem up to now. But this non conservation is a real problem if we consider networks with tubes with non uniform section and ultimately quasi steady flow in small terminal vessels.

Hence after the introduction of the set of equations in section 2 and deriving with this new point of view the conservative equations, we construct by analogy the numerical scheme both at first and second order in section 3. Then section 4 is devoted to several test cases to validate the different parts of the equations. The most important case is the "man at eternal rest" by analogy to the "lake at rest" which would not be preserved by non-conservative schemes. The aim of this paper is to use this property to construct efficient methods of resolution.

## 2 The 1D model

### 2.1 Derivation of the equations

We first derive the model equations, they are of course now classical, as already mentioned. Starting from unsteady incompressible axi-symmetrical Navier-Stokes equations, and doing a long wave approximation one obtains a first set of Reduced Navier-Stokes equations ([42], [43]). One has the longitudinal convective term, the longitudinal pressure gradient, and only the transverse viscous term, the longitudinal being negligible. The pressure is constant across the cross section and depends only of  $x$  the longitudinal variable. The incompressibility is preserved.

Then, integrating over the section, one obtains two integrated equations (kind of Von Kármán equation). The first one is obtained from incompressibility and application of boundary condition and relates the variation of section to the variations of flow. The second one is obtained from the momentum. The final equations are not closed and one has to add some hypothesis on the shape of the velocity profile to obtain a closed system (some discussions of this are in [42]). We then obtain the following system with dimensions which is the 1-D model of flow:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t A + \partial_x Q = 0 \\ \partial_t Q + \partial_x \frac{Q^2}{A} + \frac{A}{\rho} \partial_x p = -C_f \frac{Q}{A} \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A(x, t)$  is the cross-section area ( $A = \pi R^2$ , where  $R$  is the radius of the vessel),  $Q(x, t) = A(x, t)u(x, t)$  is the flow rate or the discharge,  $u(x, t)$  the mean flow velocity, and  $\rho$  the blood density (see figure 1). The first equation is without any approximation. An extra factor of value  $4/3$  may appear in front of  $Q^2/A$  if the chosen profile is a Poiseuille profile (with either large viscosity  $\nu$  or low frequency  $\omega/(2\pi)$  so that  $\alpha = R_0\sqrt{\omega/\nu}$  the Womersley number is small). This comes from the fact that this term is in fact an approximation of  $\int_0^R 2\pi u(t, x, r)^2 r dr$  (this  $u(t, x, r)$  being the actual longitudinal velocity, not to be confused with  $u(x, t) = \int_0^R 2\pi u(t, x, r) r dr$ ). The chosen unit value corresponds to a flat profile. The viscous term has been modelised by a friction proportional to  $Q/A$  (see [87] [42]):  $C_f = 8\pi\nu$  where  $\nu$  is the blood viscosity. Again, a friction term written  $-8\pi\nu Q/A$  is an approximation of the effective

term which is  $\nu R \partial u / \partial r|_R$  (with here again  $u(t, x, r)$  the real longitudinal velocity). This specific choice corresponds to a Poiseuille flow (small  $\alpha$ ), and is therefore non consistent with the coefficient in the convective term. This is not a real problem, those coefficients are adjusted in the literature. Note that in Saint-Venant community, the skin friction modelling involves a square of  $Q$ , reminiscent to the turbulent nature of river flow. This is seldom used in blood-flow. To conclude on the mechanical modelling we will take the form of Eq. 1 for granted and we will no more discuss it.

Finally, one has to model the arterial wall, the pressure has to be linked with the displacement of the vessel. A simple elastic linear term in the variation of radius is taken:

$$p - p_0 = k(R - R_0) \text{ or as well } p = p_0 + k \frac{\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A_0}}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad (2)$$

$A_0(x)$  is the cross section at rest ( $A_0 = \pi R_0^2$ , where  $R_0$  is the radius of the vessel which may be variable in the case of aneurism, stenosis or taper), and the stiffness  $k$  is taken as a constant. The external pressure  $p_0$  is supposed constant as well. Again, this relation which is here a simple elastic string model may be enhanced. Non linear terms, non local (tension) terms and/or dissipative unsteady terms may be introduced (viscoelasticity). We take here the most simple law, but we are aware of the possibilities of complexity.

Note that the derivation of those equations may be done with only 1D fluid mechanics arguments ([67] or [39]). One has to be very careful then to write precisely the action of the pressure on the lateral walls (for example Kundu [40] page 793 does a mistake in his text book). But, the real point of view to derive the equations is the one explained at the beginning of this section and involves the Reduced Navier-Stokes equations ([42]).

We will now change a bit this form to write a real conservative form. Note that authors ([71], [55]) write system (1) as

$$\partial_t U + \partial_x F(U) = S(U),$$

they use the variables  $U = (A, u)$ , so that they identify a kind of flux  $F(U) = (Au, u^2/2 + p/\rho)$  and a source term  $S(U) = -C_f u/A$ . But  $u$  is not a conservative quantity,  $Q$  is, as we will see next.

Of course, those Saint-Venant and blood flow equations are very similar to the 1D Euler compressible equations that one can write in nozzles, or in acoustics (Lighthill [50]), in this case the density  $\rho$  is variable and linked to the pressure by the isentropic law  $p \propto \rho^\gamma$ . This relation is the counter part of the relation of pressure which are in Saint-Venant and respectively in the artery: hydrostatic balance  $p = \rho g \eta$  (density, gravitational acceleration, level of the free surface) and respectively the elasticity response of the artery  $p = k(R - R_0)$  (stiffness of the wall, current radius of the vessel, reference radius at rest).




Figure 1: 1D models: left the blood flow model ( $u$  is the mean velocity,  $R$  the radius,  $A = \pi R^2$  the instantaneous area,  $R_0$  the radius at rest,  $A_0 = \pi R_0^2$  the area with no flow), right the shallow water model ( $u$  is the mean velocity,  $h$  the water column height,  $z$  the topography).

## 2.2 Conservative system

So, to write the conservative system, the second equation of (1) is rewritten and developed. Thus we get the following system of conservation laws:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t A + \partial_x Q = 0 \\ \partial_t Q + \partial_x \left[ \frac{Q^2}{A} + \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A^{3/2} \right] = \frac{kA}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{A_0}} \partial_x A_0 - C_f \frac{Q}{A} \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

we can write (3) under a more compact form:

$$\partial_t U + \partial_x F(U) = S(U), \quad (4)$$

with a new definition of  $U$ ,  $F(U)$  and  $S(U)$ . We identify  $U$  the vector of the conservative variables,  $F(U)$  the flux

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(U) = \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ \frac{Q^2}{A} + \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A^{3/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and the source term takes into account the initial shape of the vessel  $A_0$  and the friction term:

$$S(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{kA}{\rho\sqrt{\pi}} \partial_x \sqrt{A_0} - C_f \frac{Q}{A} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

This system is strictly hyperbolic when  $A > 0$  (which should be the case in arteries). Indeed, this means that we have

$$\partial_x F(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{k\sqrt{A}}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{Q^2}{A^2} & \frac{2Q}{A} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \partial_x \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Q \end{pmatrix} = J(U) \cdot \partial_x U,$$

where the Jacobian matrix  $J(U)$  has two real eigenvalues (which is the definition of hyperbolicity)

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{Q}{A} - \sqrt{\frac{k\sqrt{A}}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}}} = u - c \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{Q}{A} + \sqrt{\frac{k\sqrt{A}}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}}} = u + c. \quad (7)$$

We recognise  $c$  as the well-known Moens Korteweg wave propagation speed ([65]):

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{k\sqrt{A}}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}}}.$$

The system (3) without friction *i.e.* with  $C_f = 0$  admits special solutions which are steady state solutions, that means that we have

$$\partial_t A = \partial_t u = \partial_t Q = 0. \quad (8)$$

Thus the mass conservation equation gives the discharge conservation:  $\partial_x Q(x, t) = 0$ . The momentum conservation equation, under the steady state and frictionless hypothesis reduces to

$$\partial_x \left[ \frac{Q_0^2}{2A^2} + b\sqrt{A} - b\sqrt{A_0} \right] = 0, \quad (9)$$

with  $b = k/(\rho\sqrt{\pi})$ . So that system (3) integrates to the two constants:

$$\begin{cases} Q(x, t) = Q_0 \\ \frac{Q_0^2}{2A^2} + b\sqrt{A} - b\sqrt{A_0} = Cst \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

The first is a constant flux, the second is the Bernoulli constant.

We have of course made analogy between (3) and the one-dimensional Saint-Venant (or shallow water) system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + \partial_x q = 0 \\ \partial_t q + \partial_x \left( \frac{q^2}{h} + \frac{gh^2}{2} \right) = -gh\partial_x z - c|q|\frac{q}{h^\beta} \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

where  $h(x, t)$  is the water height,  $u(x, t)$  the mean flow velocity,  $q(x, t) = h(x, t)u(x, t)$  the flow rate and  $z(x, t)$  the topography of the bottom and  $c$  is the friction coefficient. With  $\beta = 2$  we recover Chezy's or Darcy-Weisbach's law (depending on the way  $c$  is written) and with  $\beta = 7/3$ , we get the Manning-Strickler's friction law.

This system admits steady state frictionless solutions too:

$$\partial_t h = \partial_t u = \partial_t q = 0. \quad (12)$$

Thus we get the conservation of discharge and the Bernoulli's relation

$$\begin{cases} q = q_0 \\ \frac{q_0^2}{2gh^2} + z + h = Cst \end{cases}, \quad (13)$$

which is the exact analogous of (10). In the literature we can find numerical schemes preserving steady states under the form (13), but they are complex to handle (see [14], [60], [76] and [9]). Most of the time, more simple steady states are considered

$$\begin{cases} q = u = 0 \\ \partial_x \left( g\frac{h^2}{2} \right) + gh\partial_x z = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (14)$$

which correspond from a mechanical point of view to the modelling of a "lake at rest". We have a balance called hydrostatic balance between the hydrostatic pressure and the gravitational acceleration down an inclined bottom  $z$ . The second term of (14) reduces to

$$H = h + z = Cst, \quad (15)$$

where  $H$  is the water level. Since the work of [32], schemes preserving at least (14) are called well-balanced schemes. This allows to have an efficient treatment of the source term. Thus, in practice, schemes preserving (14) give good results even in unsteady cases. The analogous of the "lake at rest" for the system (3) without friction, can be called the "man at eternal rest" or "dead man equilibrium", it writes

$$\begin{cases} Q = u = 0 \\ \partial_x \left( \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A^{3/2} \right) - \frac{kA}{\rho\sqrt{\pi}} \partial_x \sqrt{A_0} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

In this case we have  $\sqrt{A} = \sqrt{A_0}$ . We will now use this property to construct the schemes.




Figure 2: The time and space stencil.

### 3 The numerical method

In this section, we describe the scheme for system (1) at first and second order, with the space and time discretisation illustrated in Figure 2.

#### 3.1 Convective step

For the homogeneous system *i.e.* system (4) without source term:  $S(U) = 0$ , a first-order conservative finite volume scheme writes simply (see figure 2):

$$\frac{U_i^{n+1} - U_i^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{F_{i+1/2}^n - F_{i-1/2}^n}{\Delta x} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where  $U_i^n$  is an approximation of  $U$

$$U_i^n \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_{i-1/2}}^{x_{i+1/2}} U(x, t_n) dx$$

$n$  refers to time  $t_n$  with  $t_{n+1} - t_n = \Delta t$  and  $i$  to the cell  $C_i = (x_{i-1/2}, x_{i+1/2}) = (x_{i-1/2}, x_{i-1/2} + \Delta x)$ . The two points numerical flux  $F_{i+1/2}^n$  is an approximation of the flux function (5) at the cell interface  $i + 1/2$

$$F_{i+1/2} = \mathcal{F}(U_i, U_{i+1}). \quad (18)$$

This flux function will be detailed in section 3.3.



## 3.2 Source term treatment

### 3.2.1 "Topography" treatment

The source term  $\frac{kA}{\rho\sqrt{\pi}}\partial_x\sqrt{A_0}$  is the analogous of the topography source term ( $-gh\partial_x z$ ) in the shallow water system (11). Looking at (3), it might be treated explicitly which writes

$$U_i^{n+1} = U_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( F_{i+1/2}^n - F_{i-1/2}^n \right) + \Delta t S(U_i^n) \quad (19)$$

where the source is written simply by evaluating the derivative of  $A_0$ :

$$S(U_i^n) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{kA_i^n}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{A_{0i}}} \frac{A_{0i+1/2} - A_{0i-1/2}}{\Delta x} \end{array} \right),$$

with the definition

$$A_{0i+1/2} = \frac{A_{0i+1} + A_{0i}}{2}.$$

We will illustrate the fault of this naive method in sections 4.4 and 4.5. We will prefer a well-balanced method inspired from the hydrostatic reconstruction (see [3] and [8]). As for the hydrostatic reconstruction we do not consider the friction term and we are looking for a scheme which preserves steady states at rest (16), the second equation in (16) means that we have

$$\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A_0} = Cst, \quad (20)$$

as for the hydrostatic reconstruction we will use locally this relation to perform a reconstruction of the variable  $A$ . On each part of the interface, we have the following reconstructed variables

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sqrt{A_{i+1/2L}} = \max(\sqrt{A_i} + \min(\Delta\sqrt{A_{0i+1/2}}, 0), 0) \\ U_{i+1/2L} = (A_{i+1/2L}, A_{i+1/2L}u_i)^t \\ \sqrt{A_{i+1/2R}} = \max(\sqrt{A_{i+1}} - \max(\Delta\sqrt{A_{0i+1/2}}, 0), 0) \\ U_{i+1/2R} = (A_{i+1/2R}, A_{i+1/2R}u_{i+1})^t \end{array} \right. , \quad (21)$$

where  $\Delta\sqrt{A_{0i+1/2}} = \sqrt{A_{0i+1}} - \sqrt{A_{0i}}$ .

For consistency the scheme (17) has to be slightly modified under the form

$$U_i^{n+1} = U_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (F_{i+1/2L}^n - F_{i-1/2R}^n), \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1/2L}^n &= F_{i+1/2}^n + S_{i+1/2L} \\ F_{i-1/2R}^n &= F_{i-1/2}^n + S_{i-1/2R} \end{aligned} , \quad (23)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i+1/2L} &= \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}(A_i^n) - \mathcal{P}(A_{i+1/2L}^n) \end{array} \right) \\ S_{i-1/2R} &= \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}(A_i^n) - \mathcal{P}(A_{i-1/2R}^n) \end{array} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}}A^{3/2}. \quad (25)$$

The numerical flux  $F_{i+1/2}^n$  is now calculated with the reconstructed variables (21):

$$F_{i+1/2}^n = \mathcal{F}(U_{i+1/2L}^n, U_{i+1/2R}^n).$$

Having treated the term linked to the variation of radius we now turn to the friction term.

### 3.2.2 Friction treatment

Concerning the friction treatment two methods are presented here, namely: a semi-implicit treatment ([26], [11], and [49]) which is classical in shallow water simulations and the apparent topography method (see [8], [54, 53]) which is a well-balanced method.

**Semi-implicit treatment** We use (22) as a prediction without friction, *i.e.*:

$$U_i^* = U_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}(F_{i+1/2L}^n - F_{i-1/2R}^n) \quad (26)$$

and a friction correction (via a semi-implicit treatment) is applied on the predicted variables ( $U_i^*$ ):

$$A_i^* \left( \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^*}{\Delta t} \right) = -C_f u_i^{n+1}, \quad (27)$$

thus we get  $u_i^{n+1}$  and we have  $A_i^{n+1} = A_i^*$ . It preserves zero velocity.

**Apparent topography** The apparent topography method (see [8]) consists in writing the second equation of the system under the following form

$$\partial_t Q + \partial_x \left[ \frac{Q^2}{A} + \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}}A^{3/2} \right] = \frac{kA}{\rho\sqrt{\pi}}\partial_x \sqrt{A_{0app}}, \quad (28)$$

with

$$\partial_x \sqrt{A_{0app}} = \partial_x \left( \sqrt{A_0} + b \right),$$

where

$$\partial_x b = -\frac{\rho\sqrt{\pi}C_f}{k} \frac{Q}{A^2}.$$

The hydrostatic reconstruction (21) is performed with the corrected term  $\sqrt{A_{0app}}$ .

## 3.3 Numerical flux

Several numerical fluxes might be used, some of them are defined in the following.

**Rusanov flux** Following [8], the Rusanov flux writes

$$\mathcal{F}(U_L, U_R) = \frac{F(U_L) + F(U_R)}{2} - c \frac{U_R - U_L}{2},$$

with

$$c = \sup_{U=U_L, U_R} ( \sup_{j \in \{1,2\}} |\lambda_j(U)| ),$$

where  $\lambda_1(U)$  and  $\lambda_2(U)$  are the eigenvalues of the system.

**HLL flux** Following [8], the HLL flux (Harten, Lax and van Leer [35]) writes

$$\mathcal{F}(U_L, U_R) = \begin{cases} F(U_L) & \text{if } 0 \leq c_1 \\ \frac{c_2 F(U_L) - c_1 F(U_R)}{c_2 - c_1} + \frac{c_1 c_2}{c_2 - c_1} (U_R - U_L) & \text{if } c_1 < 0 < c_2 \\ F(U_R) & \text{if } c_2 \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad (29)$$

with

$$c_1 = \inf_{U=U_L, U_R} ( \inf_{j \in \{1,2\}} \lambda_j(U) ) \text{ and } c_2 = \sup_{U=U_L, U_R} ( \sup_{j \in \{1,2\}} \lambda_j(U) ),$$

where  $\lambda_1(U)$  and  $\lambda_2(U)$  are the eigenvalues of the system.

**VFRoe-ncv flux** Adapting the main line of [56] and [57], we get the following VFRoe-ncv flux for system (3)

$$\mathcal{F}(U_L, U_R) = \begin{cases} F(U_L) & \text{if } 0 < \lambda_1(\tilde{U}) \\ F(U_*) & \text{if } \lambda_1(\tilde{U}) < 0 < \lambda_2(\tilde{U}) \\ F(U_R) & \text{if } \lambda_2(\tilde{U}) < 0 \end{cases},$$

with the mean state

$$\tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\tilde{c} \\ \tilde{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(c_L + c_R) \\ \frac{u_L + u_R}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U_* = \begin{pmatrix} A_* \\ A_* u_* \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_*$  et  $u_*$  are the Roe mean states, we get them thanks the Riemann invariant

$$\begin{cases} u_R - 4c_R = u_* - 4c_* \\ u_L + 4c_L = u_* + 4c_* \end{cases}, \quad (30)$$

thus we have

$$\begin{cases} u_* = \tilde{u} - 2(c_R - c_L) \\ c_* = \tilde{c} - \frac{1}{8}(u_R - u_L) \end{cases}.$$

When  $\lambda_1(U_L) < 0 < \lambda_1(U_R)$  or  $\lambda_2(U_L) < 0 < \lambda_2(U_R)$ , we can get non entropic solutions. We perform an entropy correction thanks to the Rusanov flux.

**Kinetic flux** Adapting the main line of [2] and [4], we get the following kinetic flux for system (3)

$$\mathcal{F}(U_L, U_R) = F_+(U_L) + F_-(U_R),$$

with

$$F_+(U_L) = \frac{A_L}{2\sqrt{3T_L}} \left( \frac{M_+^2 - M_-^2}{\frac{M_+^3 - M_-^3}{3}} \right)$$

$$F_-(U_R) = \frac{A_R}{2\sqrt{3T_R}} \left( \frac{m_+^2 - m_-^2}{\frac{m_+^3 - m_-^3}{3}} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} M_+ = \max(0, u_L + \sqrt{3T_L}) \\ M_- = \max(0, u_L - \sqrt{3T_L}) \\ m_+ = \min(0, u_R + \sqrt{3T_R}) \\ m_- = \min(0, u_R - \sqrt{3T_R}) \end{cases}$$

with

$$T_L = \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{A_L} \quad \text{and} \quad T_R = \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{A_R}.$$

**CFL condition** We have to impose a CFL (Courant, Friedrichs, Levy) condition on the timestep to prevent blow up of the numerical values and to ensure the positivity of  $A$ . This classical stability condition writes

$$\Delta t \leq n_{CFL} \frac{\min_i(\Delta x_i)}{\max_i(|u_i| + c_i)},$$

where  $c_i = \sqrt{k\sqrt{A_i}/(2\rho\sqrt{\pi})}$  and  $n_{CFL} = 1$  (resp.  $n_{CFL} = 0.5$ ) for the first order scheme (resp. for the second order scheme see 3.5).

We have to notice that the kinetic flux needs a particular CFL condition (see [2, p.24])

$$\Delta t \leq n_{CFL} \frac{\min_i(\Delta x_i)}{\max_i(|u_i| + \sqrt{3T_i})}.$$

The Rusanov is the most diffusive but the most simple to implement, the kinetic one is slightly less diffusive but more cpu time consuming. VFRoe-nv and HLL are comparable, but the first one is a little more time consuming due to entropy correction. All these fluxes are compared in [19] in Saint-Venant framework.

## 3.4 Boundary conditions

### 3.4.1 Characteristic system

Of course boundary conditions are very important for artery flow. We will not too much insist on them, and we will not present for example the classical Windkessel model or variations. Nevertheless, adapting [11] to blood flow, we

can write the homogeneous form of (4) under the following non conservative form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t A + u \partial_x A + A \partial_x u = 0 \\ \partial_t u + \frac{k}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{A}} \partial_x A + u \partial_x u = 0 \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Thanks to the Moens Korteweg velocity rewritten as  $A = c^4 \pi (2\rho/k)^2$ , we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t [4c - u] + (u - c) \partial_x [4c - u] = 0 \\ \partial_t [4c + u] + (u + c) \partial_x [4c + u] = 0 \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

thus we have  $\frac{d(4c - u)}{dt} = 0$  (respectively  $\frac{d(4c + u)}{dt} = 0$ ) or the Riemann invariant  $4c - u = Cst$  (resp.  $4c + u = Cst$ ) along the characteristic curve  $C_-$  (resp.  $C_+$ ) defined by the equation  $\frac{dx}{dt} = u - c$  (resp.  $\frac{dx}{dt} = u + c$ ).

The boundary conditions will be prescribed thanks to the method of characteristics. We set  $U_{bound} = U(0)$  or  $U(L)$  and  $U_{near} = U(\Delta x)$  or  $U(L - \Delta x)$  depending on the considered boundary ( $x = 0$  or  $x = L$ ).

### 3.4.2 Subcritical flow

We write here boundary condition for subcritical flow: at the boundary the flow is subcritical if we have  $|u_{bound}| < c_{bound}$  or equivalently

$$(u_{bound} - c_{bound})(u_{bound} + c_{bound}) < 0. \quad (33)$$

Of course it seems to be always the case in blood flows, where  $|Q/(Ac)|$  is less than 10 % in physiological cases. This concept is more relevant to Saint-Venant equation, where supercritical flow are easily obtained. Two cases are possible: we are given either the cross section  $A$  (the pressure thanks to (2)) or the discharge  $Q$ .

- Given cross section  $A_{bound} = Cst$ :

At  $x = 0$  the Riemann invariant is constant along the ingoing characteristic  $u - c$ , thus we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{bound} = Cst \\ u_{bound} = u_{near} - 4[c_{near} - c_{bound}] \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

At  $x = L$  the Riemann invariant is constant along the outgoing characteristic  $u + c$ , thus we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{bound} = Cst \\ u_{bound} = u_{near} + 4[c_{near} - c_{bound}] \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

If (33) is not verified by the couple  $(A_{bound}, u_{bound})$ , the flow is in fact supercritical.

- Given discharge  $Q_{bound} = Cst$ :

Depending on the boundary considered we have either at  $x = 0$

$$0 = -Q_{bound} + (u_{near} - 4c_{near})A_{bound} + 4c_{bound}A_{bound}, \quad (36)$$

or at  $x = L$

$$0 = -Q_{bound} + (u_{near} + 4c_{near})A_{bound} - 4c_{bound}A_{bound}. \quad (37)$$

We recall that  $c_{bound}$  depends on  $A_{bound}$ , we solve (36) or (37) to get  $A_{bound}$ .

The source terms might be considered negligible in the characteristic method.

### 3.4.3 Supercritical flow

As previously mentioned, this is not really a relevant case for flows in arteries. But, in veins, or in collapsible tubes, it may be relevant (see Pedley [65]). A supercritical inflow corresponds to both  $A_{bound} = Cst_1$  and  $Q_{bound} = Cst_2$  that have to be imposed. A supercritical outflow is such that we have  $A_{bound} = A_{near}$  and  $Q_{bound} = Q_{near}$ .

Again, source terms are neglected in the characteristic method.

In order to impose the discharge  $Q_{bound} = A_{bound}u_{bound}$ , we can use an other method: the use of the first component of the numerical flux is an accurate way to proceed, even if  $\mathcal{F}_1(U_L, U_R) = Q_{bound}(t)$  has no unique solution (and the complexity of the problem depends on the numerical flux).

## 3.5 Second order scheme

In order to get a second order scheme, we use the following algorithm:

**Step 1** In order to get second order reconstructed variables  $(U_{\bullet\pm}, \sqrt{A_{0\bullet\pm}})$  we perform a linear reconstruction on variables  $u, A, \sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A_0}$  then we get the reconstruction on  $\sqrt{A_0}$ .

We consider the scalar function  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , its linear reconstruction is defined by

$$s_{i-1/2+} = s_i - \frac{\Delta x}{2}Ds_i \quad \text{and} \quad s_{i+1/2-} = s_i + \frac{\Delta x}{2}Ds_i$$

where  $D$  is one of the following reconstruction operator  $D_{muscl}$ ,  $D_{eno}$  and  $D_{enom}$ . To get the reconstruction operator  $D$ , we introduce the minmod slope limiter

$$\text{minmod}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \geq 0 \\ \max(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Some other slope limiters might be found in [48, p.111-112] (the Monotonized Central difference limiter and the Superbee limiter).

**MUSCL** With the operator

$$D_{muscl}s_i = \text{minmod}\left(\frac{s_i - s_{i-1}}{\Delta x}, \frac{s_{i+1} - s_i}{\Delta x}\right),$$

we get the MUSCL linear reconstruction (Monotonic Upwind Scheme for Conservation Law [80]). We can find a less diffusive but more complicated form of the MUSCL reconstruction in [22].

**ENO** With the operator

$$D_{eno}s_i = \minmod \left( \frac{s_i - s_{i-1}}{\Delta x} + \theta_{eno} \frac{\Delta x}{2} D^2 s_{i-1/2}, \frac{s_{i+1} - s_i}{\Delta x} + \theta_{eno} \frac{\Delta x}{2} D^2 s_{i+1/2} \right),$$

where

$$D^2 s_{i+1/2} = \minmod \left( \frac{s_{i+1} - 2s_i + s_{i-1}}{\Delta x^2}, \frac{s_{i+2} - 2s_{i+1} + s_i}{\Delta x^2} \right)$$

and

$$\theta_{eno} = 1,$$

we perform the ENO linear reconstruction (Essentially Non Oscillatory [34, 33], [72]). This reconstruction is more accurate than the MUSCL reconstruction but less stable. In order to get a more stable reconstruction we can take  $\theta_{eno}$  in  $[0, 1]$  (it is recommended to take  $\theta_{eno} = 0.25$  [10, p.236]).

**modified ENO** With the operator

$$D_{enom}s_i = \minmod (D_{eno}s_i, 2\theta_{enom}D_{muscl}s_i), \text{ with } \theta_{enom} \in [0, 1],$$

we get the modified ENO linear reconstruction [8, p.55-56] and [10, p.235-237].

The previous linear reconstructions applied to  $A$  writes

$$A_{i-1/2+} = A_i - \frac{\Delta x}{2} DA_i, \quad A_{i+1/2-} = A_i + \frac{\Delta x}{2} DA_i,$$

thus we have the following conservation relation

$$\frac{A_{i-1/2+} + A_{i+1/2-}}{2} = A_i.$$

In order to get the same kind of relation on the discharges, the following modified reconstruction is performed on the velocity variables  $u$

$$u_{i-1/2+} = u_i - \frac{A_{i+1/2-}}{A_i} \frac{\Delta x}{2} Du_i, \quad u_{i+1/2-} = u_i + \frac{A_{i-1/2+}}{A_i} \frac{\Delta x}{2} Du_i,$$

this allows to get the conservation relation

$$\frac{A_{i-1/2+}u_{i-1/2+} + A_{i+1/2-}u_{i+1/2-}}{2} = A_i u_i.$$

We perform on  $\Psi = \sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A_0}$  the same linear reconstruction as on  $A$ , we get  $\Psi_{\bullet\pm}$  and at last we have

$$\sqrt{A_{0i+1/2\pm}} = \sqrt{A_{i+1/2\pm}} - \Psi_{i+1/2\pm}.$$

**Step 2** From variables  $U_{\bullet-}$  and  $U_{\bullet+}$  we get the following reconstructed variables

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{A_{i+1/2L}} = \max(\Psi_{i+1/2-} + \min(\sqrt{A_{0i+1/2-}}, \sqrt{A_{0i+1/2+}}), 0) \\ U_{i+1/2L} = (A_{i+1/2L}, A_{i+1/2L}u_{i+1/2-})^t \\ \sqrt{A_{i+1/2R}} = \max(\Psi_{i+1/2+} + \min(\sqrt{A_{0i+1/2-}}, \sqrt{A_{0i+1/2+}}), 0) \\ U_{i+1/2R} = (A_{i+1/2R}, A_{i+1/2R}u_{i+1/2+})^t \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

**Step 3** In order to get a consistent well-balanced scheme, it is necessary to add a centered source term  $Fc_i$ . Scheme (17) becomes

$$U_i^{n+1} = U_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( F_{i+1/2L}^n - F_{i-1/2R}^n - Fc_i^n \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1/2L}^n &= F_{i+1/2}^n + S_{i+1/2-} \\ F_{i-1/2R}^n &= F_{i-1/2}^n + S_{i-1/2+} \end{aligned} \quad ,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i+1/2-} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}(A_{i+1/2-}^n) - \mathcal{P}(A_{i+1/2L}^n) \end{pmatrix} \\ S_{i-1/2+} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}(A_{i-1/2+}^n) - \mathcal{P}(A_{i-1/2R}^n) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Fc_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{k}{\rho\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{(A_{i+1/2-}^n)^{3/2} - (A_{i-1/2+}^n)^{3/2}}{3} \Delta\sqrt{A_{0i}} \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\Delta\sqrt{A_{0i}} = \sqrt{A_{0i+1/2-}} - \sqrt{A_{0i-1/2+}}$ . The numerical flux  $F_{i+1/2}^n$  is calculated as at first order (3.2.1), *i.e.* with the variables obtained with the hydrostatic reconstruction (38).

Thus we get a second order scheme in space which writes

$$U^{n+1} = U^n + \Delta t \Phi(U^n) \text{ with } U = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$\Phi(U_i^n) = -\frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( F_{i+1/2L}^n - F_{i-1/2R}^n - Fc_i^n \right). \quad (39)$$

**Step 4** The second order in time is recovered by a second order TVD (Total Variation Diminishing) Runge-Kutta (see [72]), namely the Heun method

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}^{n+1} &= U^n + \Delta t \Phi(U^n), \\ \tilde{U}^{n+2} &= \tilde{U}^{n+1} + \Delta t \Phi(\tilde{U}^{n+1}), \\ U^{n+1} &= \frac{U^n + \tilde{U}^{n+2}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $\Phi$  is defined by (39). This method is a kind of predictor-corrector method.

A more complete modelling of the arterial flow will imply other source terms (diffusion, tension,...). To observe those effects one has to use a higher order scheme, as performed for shallow water in [59] and [57].

## 4 Validation

### 4.1 About the chosen examples

The chosen examples are more for sake of illustration of the previous scheme rather than for validation on real clinical datas. So, the extremities of the arteries will be non reflecting and the numerical values are more indicative rather



than clinically relevant. Those examples will show that the scheme behaves well and that some validations from linearised or exact solutions may be reobtained. More specifically we insist on the cases with large change of initial section like sudden constriction and sudden expansion. Even we present here an aneurism, flow in a stenosis could also be treated.

## 4.2 The ideal tourniquet

In the Saint-Venant community, the dam break problem is a classical test case [74] (in compressible gas dynamics, it is referred as the Sod tube, LeVeque [46] or Lighthill [50] or [61]). We have an analytical solution of this problem thanks to the characteristic method. So, we consider the analogous of this problem in blood flow: a tourniquet is applied and we remove it instantaneously. Of course, this is done in supposing that the pulsatile effects are neglected, so it is more a test case rather than a real tourniquet. Any way, with the previous hypothesis, we get a Riemann problem. This test allows us to study the ability of the numerical scheme to give the front propagation properly, we notice that the non linear terms are tested (but neither the viscous ones nor the change of basic radius). As we consider an artery with a constant radius at rest and




Figure 3: (a) The ideal tourniquet radius initial conditions: a discontinuous initial radius (or pression) is imposed with no velocity (the blood is blocked), (b) analytical solution of the radius at time  $t > 0$ : a shock wave is moving downstream (to the right) and an expansion wave upstream (to the left).

without friction, we consider the system (3) without source term.  $L$  is the length of the arteria with  $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$ . The initial conditions are

$$A(x, 0) = \begin{cases} A_L & \text{for } x \leq 0 \\ A_R & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}, \quad (41)$$

with  $A_L > A_R$  and  $Q(x, 0) = u(x, 0) = 0$  (see figure 3).

As for the dam break, with the characteristic method we get an analytical solution. At time  $t > 0$ , from the upstream to the downstream of the artery, we have four different states (as illustrated for the radius  $R = \sqrt{A/\pi}$  in Figure 3b):

1. Upstream point A located at  $x_A(t) = -c_L t$ , the state is the same as the initial one:

$$\begin{cases} A(x, t) = A_L \\ u(x, t) = 0 \end{cases} . \quad (42)$$

2. Between point A and point B located at  $x_B(t) = (u_M - c_M)t = (4c_L - 5c_M)t$  (the subscript stands for the intermediate state in the following zone), we have

$$\begin{cases} u(x, t) = \frac{4}{5} \frac{x}{t} + \frac{4}{5} c_L \\ c(x, t) = -\frac{1}{5} \frac{x}{t} + \frac{4}{5} c_L \end{cases} . \quad (43)$$

3. Between point B and C located at  $x_C(t) = st$ , with the Rankine Hugoniot relation  $s = A_M u_M / (A_M - A_R)$ . We have an intermediate state

$$\begin{cases} A(x, t) = A_M \\ u(x, t) = u_M \end{cases} , \quad (44)$$

where the variables  $s$ ,  $A_M$ ,  $u_M$  and  $Q_M = u_M A_M$  are obtained thanks to the following system

$$\begin{cases} u_M + 4c_M = u_L + 4c_L \\ Q_R - Q_M = s(A_R - A_M) \\ \left( \frac{Q_R^2}{A_R} + \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A_R^{3/2} \right) - \left( \frac{Q_M^2}{A_M} + \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A_M^{3/2} \right) = s(Q_R - Q_M) \end{cases} .$$

As  $u_R = u_L = Q_R = Q_L = 0$ , this system reduces to

$$\begin{cases} u_M + 4c_M = 4c_L \\ Q_M = s(A_M - A_R) \\ \left( \frac{Q_M^2}{A_M} + \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A_M^{3/2} \right) - \frac{k}{3\rho\sqrt{\pi}} A_R^{3/2} = sQ_M \end{cases} .$$

This system is solved iteratively.

4. Downstream point C, the state is the same as the initial one

$$\begin{cases} A(x, t) = A_R \\ u(x, t) = 0 \end{cases} . \quad (45)$$

The simulations were performed with the first order scheme with each of the fluxes,  $J = 100$  cells and a fix CFL of 1 (0.5 for the kinetic flux) and we have used the following numerical values  $C_f = 0$ ,  $k = 1.0 \cdot 10^7 \text{Pa/m}$ ,  $\rho = 1060 \text{m}^3$ ,  $R_L = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{m}$ ,  $R_R = 4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{m}$ ,  $L = 0.08 \text{m}$ ,  $T_{end} = 0.005 \text{s}$ ,  $c_R = \sqrt{k R_R / (2\rho)} \simeq 4.34 \text{m/s}$ ,  $c_L = \sqrt{k R_L / (2\rho)} \simeq 4.86 \text{m/s}$ . An initial flow at rest:  $Q(x, t = 0) = 0 \text{m}^3/\text{s}$  and

$$A(x, t = 0) = \begin{cases} \pi R_L^2, & \text{if } x \in [-0.04 : 0] \\ \pi R_R^2, & \text{if } x \in ]0 : 0.04] \end{cases} .$$

As illustrated in Figure 4, we notice that the Rusanov and Kinetic fluxes are more diffusive than the others. With mesh refinement and/or scheme order increasing, we should improve the results both at the shock level and the expansion




Figure 4: Snapshots of the ideal tourniquet – comparison between analytical and numerical results at  $t = T_{end}$ : (a) radius and (b) discharge.

wave. As noticed in [19] for the shallow water equations, the VFRoe-ncv needs more cpu time than the HLL flux. So in what follows we will focus on the HLL flux. This is a rather severe test case as in practice,  $|Q/(Ac)|$  is less than 10 % in physiological cases.

### 4.3 Wave equation




Figure 5: The propagation of an initial (non physiological) pulse given at  $t = 0$  in space and time: (a) radius and (b) velocity: the initial perturbation splits into a forward and backward travelling wave (colors online).

So, as in practice, in arteries, the mean velocity is far smaller than the Moens Korteweg celerity  $|Q/(Ac)| \ll 1$ , here we will study the ability to catch the relevant small linearised wave propagation speeds. We look at the evolution of a given perturbation of radius with no velocity perturbation and see how it evolves. Thus we consider the system without friction, with a constant section at rest, a steady state  $(R_0, u_0 = 0)$ . Looking at perturbation at a small level  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , we have  $(R, u) = (R_0 + \varepsilon R_1, \varepsilon u_1)$  where subscript 1 refers to the perturbation.

Substitution in (3) and neglecting the small terms, it becomes the d'Alembert equation for the perturbations  $u_1$  and  $R_1$ :

$$\partial_t^2(u_1, R_1) - c_0^2 \partial_x^2(u_1, R_1) = 0, \quad (46)$$

the same is valid for variables  $Q_1 = A_0 u_1$  the perturbation of flux and  $p_1 = k R_1$  the perturbation of pressure with the Moens Korteweg wave velocity

$$c_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k\sqrt{A_0}}{2\rho\sqrt{\pi}}} = \sqrt{\frac{kR_0}{2\rho}}. \quad (47)$$

With initial conditions  $u_1(x, 0) = 0$ ,  $R_1(x, 0) = \phi(x)$  we obtain so analytical solution:

$$\begin{cases} R(x, t) = R_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} [\phi(x - c_0 t) + \phi(x + c_0 t)] \\ u(x, t) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{c_0}{R_0} [-\phi(x - c_0 t) + \phi(x + c_0 t)] \end{cases}.$$

The following numerical values have been used for the figure 5:  $J = 200$ ,  $C_f = 0$ ,  $k = 1.0 \cdot 10^8 \text{ Pa/m}$ ,  $\rho = 1060 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $R_0 = 4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $L = 0.16 \text{ m}$ ,  $T_{end} = 0.008 \text{ s}$ ,  $c_0 = \sqrt{kR_0/(2\rho)} \simeq 13.7 \text{ m/s}$ . As initial conditions, we take a fluid at rest  $Q(x, 0) = 0 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  with an initial deformation of the radius

$$A(x, 0) = \begin{cases} \pi R_0^2, & \text{if } x \in [0 : 40L/100] \cup [60L/100 : L] \\ \pi R_0^2 \left[ 1 + \varepsilon \sin \left( \pi \frac{x - 40L/100}{20L/100} \right) \right]^2, & \text{if } x \in ]40L/100 : 60L/100[ \end{cases},$$

with  $\varepsilon = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ . As illustrated, in Figure 6a, we get two waves, propagating on the right (respectively left) with a positive (resp. negative) velocity. The two waves are represented at several moments. We notice no numerical diffusion.




Figure 6: (a) Radius as function of the position at 3 time steps:  $t = 0$ ,  $t = T_{end}/4$ ,  $t = T_{end}/2$  and  $t = 3T_{end}/4$ , comparison between the first order scheme with HLL flux and exact solution and (b) the error made on the area calculation at  $t = 0.004 \text{ s}$ .

#### 4.4 ”The man at eternal rest”

The previous test case did not involve drastic changes in the basic radius. We will show in this section that a not adapted source term treatment in (3) may give non-physical velocity. In this test case, we consider a configuration with no flow and with a change of radius  $R_0(x)$ , this is the case for a dead man with an aneurism. Thus the section of the artery is not constant and the velocity is  $u(x, t) = 0$  m/s. We use the following numerical values.  $J = 50$  cells,  $C_f = 0$ ,  $k = 1.0 \cdot 10^8$  Pa/m,  $\rho = 1060$  m<sup>3</sup>,  $L = 0.14$  m,  $T_{end} = 5$  s. As initial conditions, we take a fluid at rest  $Q(x, 0) = 0$  m<sup>3</sup>/s and

$$R(x, 0) = R_0(x) = \begin{cases} R_o & \text{if } x \in [0 : x_1] \cup [x_4 : L] \\ R_o + \frac{\Delta R}{2} \left[ \sin \left( \left( \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} \pi - \pi/2 \right) \right) + 1 \right] & \text{if } x \in ]x_1 : x_2[ \\ R_o + \Delta R & \text{if } x \in [x_2 : x_3] \\ R_o + \frac{\Delta R}{2} \left( \cos \left( \frac{x - x_3}{x_4 - x_3} \pi \right) + 1 \right) & \text{if } x \in ]x_3 : x_4[ \end{cases},$$

with  $R_o = 4.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$  m,  $\Delta R = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$  m,  $x_1 = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m,  $x_2 = 3.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m,  $x_3 = 4.95 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m and  $x_4 = 7.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m (figure 7a).

As illustrated in Figure 7b, with an explicit treatment of the source term in  $A_0$ , we get non zero velocities at the arteria cross section variation level. The ”man at eternal rest” is not preserved, spurious flow appear (avoiding artifacts such as [38]). As expected, the hydrostatic reconstruction (21) preserves exactly the steady state at rest.




Figure 7: The ”dead man case”: (a) the radius of the arteria, (b) comparison of the velocity at time  $t = 5$  s between an explicit treatment of the source term and the hydrostatic reconstruction. The spurious flow effect is clearly visible if an inappropriate scheme is chosen.

#### 4.5 Wave reflection-transmission in an aneurism

##### 4.5.1 Analytical linear transmission

We observed that waves propagate fairly well in a straight tube, we now observe the reflexion and transmission trough a sudden change of section (from  $A_L$  to

$A_R$ ) in an elastic tube. The d'Alembert equation (46) admits harmonic waves  $e^{i(\omega t - x/c_0)}$ , so that a plane wave is (symbol 1 represents a linear perturbation as in section 4.3):

$$Q_1 = Y_0 p_1, \text{ with } p_1 \propto \mathcal{R}e(e^{i(\omega t - x/c_0)})$$

and  $Y_0 = A_0/(\rho c_0)$  is called the characteristic admittance. With this definition, we can look at a change of section from a radius  $R_L$  to a radius  $R_R$ : an imposed right traveling plane wave  $e^{i(\omega t - x/c_L)}$  moving at celerity  $c_L$  in the left media (subscript  $L$ ) will experience a transmission in the right media (subscript  $R$ ) (and will move at celerity  $c_R$ ) and a reflexion (and move at celerity  $-c_L$ ). The coefficients of transmission and reflexion depend on the two admittances of the two media  $L$  and  $R$  (see Lighthill or Pedley):

$$Tr = \frac{2Y_L}{Y_L + Y_R} \text{ and } Re = \frac{Y_L - Y_R}{Y_L + Y_R}.$$

As the admittance does not depend on the frequency  $\omega$ , any signal (by Fourier decomposition) will be transmitted and reflected with those values.

Here we will study the reflection and the transmission of a small wave in an aneurism.

#### 4.5.2 Propagation of a pulse to and from an expansion




Figure 8: To an expansion, the propagation of an initial pulse in space and time: (a) radius and (b) velocity (colors online).

First we test the case of a pulse in a section  $R_R$  passing through an expansion:  $A_L > A_R$ , taking the following numerical values:  $J = 1500$  cells,  $C_f = 0$ ,  $k = 1.0 \cdot 10^8 \text{ Pa/m}$ ,  $\rho = 1060 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $R_L = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $R_R = 4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $\Delta R = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $L = 0.16 \text{ m}$ ,  $T_{end} = 8.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}$ ,  $c_L = \sqrt{k R_L / (2\rho)} \simeq 15.36 \text{ m/s}$  and  $c_R = \sqrt{k R_R / (2\rho)} \simeq 13.74 \text{ m/s}$ . We take a decreasing shape on a rather small scale:

$$R_0(x) = \begin{cases} R_R + \Delta R = R_b & \text{if } x \in [0 : x_1] \\ R_R + \frac{\Delta R}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} \pi \right) \right] & \text{if } x \in [x_1 : x_2] \\ R_R & \text{else} \end{cases}, \quad (48)$$




Figure 9: Radius as function of the position at 3 time steps:  $t = 0$ ,  $t = T_{end}/4$ ,  $t = T_{end}/2$  and  $t = 3T_{end}/4$ . The dotted lines represent the level of the predicted reflexion ( $Re$ ) and transmission ( $Tr$ ) coefficients.

with  $x_1 = 19L/40$  and  $x_2 = L/2$ . As initial conditions, we consider a fluid at rest  $Q(x, 0) = 0 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  and the following perturbation of radius:

$$R(x, 0) = \begin{cases} R_0(x) \left[ 1 + \varepsilon \sin \left( \frac{100}{20L} \pi \left( x - \frac{65L}{100} \right) \right) \right] & \text{if } x \in [65L/100 : 85L/100] \\ R_0(x) & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

with  $\varepsilon = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ .




Figure 10: From an expansion, the propagation of an initial pulse in space and time: (a) radius and (b) velocity (colors online).

Results showing the wave propagation and expansion are in Figure 8. In Figure 9 we have the amplitude of the pulse before and after the change of section, the level of the analytical prediction of  $T$  and  $R$  is plotted as well showing that the levels are preserved.

The same is done for a pulse propagating from an expansion. So, just the




Figure 11: Radius as function of the position at 3 time steps:  $t = 0$ ,  $t = T_{end}/4$ ,  $t = T_{end}/2$  and  $t = 3T_{end}/4$ . The dotted lines represent the level of the predicted reflexion ( $Re$ ) and transmission ( $Tr$ ) coefficients.

radius is changed:

$$R(x, 0) = \begin{cases} R_0(x) \left[ 1 + \varepsilon \sin \left( \frac{100}{20L} \pi \left( x - \frac{15L}{100} \right) \right) \right] & \text{if } x \in [15L/100 : 35L/100] \\ R_0(x) & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

with  $\varepsilon = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ . Similar results showing the wave propagation are in Figure 10a and 10b. In Figure 11 the amplitude of the pulse corresponds to the level predicted by the analytical linearised solution.

#### 4.5.3 The aneurism




Figure 12: The propagation in an aneurism of an initial pulse in space and time: (a) radius and (b) velocity. We note the expected reflexions at the position where the vessel changes his shape (colors online).

In this part we put an expansion and one constriction modelling an aneurism. An initial pulse at the center  $C$  of this aneurism propagates in the right and left






Figure 13: A scheme which does not preserve the "man at eternal rest" generates spurious waves were the radius changes (colors online).

direction to the boundaries  $B$ . One sees in Figure 12 the reflexions and transmissions at the position of the variation of radius. These plots have been done with the following numerical values:  $J = 1500$  cells,  $C_f = 0$ ,  $k = 1.0 \cdot 10^8 \text{ Pa/m}$ ,  $\rho = 1060 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $R_B = 4. \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $R_C = 5. \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $\Delta R = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ ,  $L = 0.16 \text{ m}$ ,  $T_{end} = 8.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}$ ,  $c_B = \sqrt{k R_B / (2\rho)} \simeq 13.74 \text{ m/s}$  and  $c_C = \sqrt{k R_C / (2\rho)} \simeq 15.36 \text{ m/s}$ . With the given shape

$$R_0(x) = \begin{cases} R_B & \text{if } x \in [0 : x_1] \cup [x_4 : L] \\ R_B + \frac{\Delta R}{2} \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} \pi \right) \right] & \text{if } x \in ]x_1 : x_2[ \\ R_B + \Delta R = R_C & \text{if } x \in ]x_2 : x_3[ \\ R_B + \frac{\Delta R}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{x - x_3}{x_4 - x_3} \pi \right) \right] & \text{if } x \in ]x_3 : x_4[ \end{cases}, \quad (49)$$

with  $x_1 = 9L/40$ ,  $x_2 = L/4$ ,  $x_3 = 3L/4$  and  $x_4 = 31L/40$ . As initial conditions, we consider a fluid at rest  $Q(x, 0) = 0 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  and the initial perturbation:

$$R(x, 0) = \begin{cases} R_0(x) \left[ 1 + \varepsilon \sin \left( \frac{100}{10L} \pi \left( x - \frac{45L}{100} \right) \right) \right] & \text{if } x \in [45L/100 : 55L/100] \\ R_0(x) & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

with  $\varepsilon = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ .

#### 4.5.4 Non adapted treatment of the source terms

To insist on the adapted treatment of the source terms, we present in this subsection what happens if a naive scheme is taken like in subsection 3.2.1. We clearly see in Figure 13 that at the change of radius reflected and spurious wave appear. The previous constant velocities are present like in Figure 13b. Furthermore, the initial data give traveling waves coming from the position of the change of section. This clearly shows the influence of the source terms in the discretization.

## 4.6 Wave "damping"

In this last test case, we look at the viscous damping term in the linearized momentum equation. This is the analogous of the Womersley [85] problem, we consider a periodic signal at the inflow which is a perturbation of a steady state ( $R_0 = Cst, u_0 = 0$ ) with a constant section at rest. We consider the set of equations 3 with the friction term under the nonconservative form with the variables  $(R, u)$ , this system writes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t R + u \partial_x R + \frac{R}{2} \partial_x u = 0 \\ \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \frac{k}{\rho} \partial_x R = -\frac{C_f}{\pi} \frac{u}{R^2} \end{cases}.$$

We take  $R = R_0 + \varepsilon R_1$  and  $u = 0 + \varepsilon u_1$ , where  $(R_1, u_1)$  is the perturbation of the steady state. We have after linearisation of the equations:

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t R_1 + R_0 \partial_x u_1 = 0 \\ \partial_t u_1 + \frac{k}{\rho} \partial_x R_1 = -\frac{C_f}{\pi} \frac{u_1}{R_0^2} \end{cases},$$

which may be combined in two wave equations with source term

$$\partial_t^2(u_1, R_1) - c_0^2 \partial_x^2(u_1, R_1) = -\frac{C_f}{\pi} \frac{\partial_t(u_1, R_1)}{R_0^2}. \quad (50)$$

Looking for progressive waves (*i.e.* under the form  $e^{i(\omega t - Kx)}$ ), we obtain the dispersion relation

$$K^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c_0^2} - i \frac{\omega C_f}{\pi R_0 c_0^2}, \quad (51)$$

with  $\omega = 2\pi/T_{pulse}$ ,  $T_{pulse}$  the time length of a pulse and  $K = k_r + ik_i$ , the wave vector not to be confused with the stiffness,

$$\begin{cases} k_r = \left[ \frac{\omega^4}{c_0^4} + \left( \frac{\omega C_f}{\pi R_0^2 c_0^2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/4} \cos \left( \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( -\frac{C_f}{\pi R_0^2 \omega} \right) \right) \\ k_i = \left[ \frac{\omega^4}{c_0^4} + \left( \frac{\omega C_f}{\pi R_0^2 c_0^2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/4} \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( -\frac{C_f}{\pi R_0^2 \omega} \right) \right) \end{cases}. \quad (52)$$

For the numerical applications, we impose the incoming discharge

$$Q_b(t) = Q_{amp} \sin(\omega t) \text{m}^3/\text{s},$$

with  $Q_{amp}$  the amplitude of the inflow discharge. We get a damping wave in the domain

$$Q(t, x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } k_r x > \omega t \\ Q_{amp} \sin(\omega t - k_r x) e^{k_i x} & , \text{ if } k_r x \leq \omega t \end{cases}, \quad (53)$$

where  $Q_b(t)$  is the discharge imposed at  $x = 0$ .

The following numerical values allow to plot figure 14a to 14d. For  $C_f$ , we took 4 different values ( $C_f = 0$ ,  $C_f = 0.000022$ ,  $C_f = 0.000202$  and  $C_f =$

$C_f = 0.000022$												
HLL1-SI			HLL1-AT			HLL MUSCL-SI			HLL ENO-SI		HLL MUSCL-AT	
J	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]
50	0.311E-7	0.49	0.309E-7	0.51	0.753E-8	2.7	0.753E-8	2.52	0.796E-8	2.52	0.753E-8	2.9
100	0.158E-7	1.95	0.157E-7	2	0.232E-8	10.65	0.232E-8	9.95	0.216E-8	9.95	0.232E-8	11.47
200	0.789E-8	7.8	0.783E-8	7.96	0.121E-8	41.95	0.121E-8	39.3	0.129E-8	39.3	0.121E-8	45.31
400	0.386E-8	31.26	0.383E-8	31.75	0.458E-9	167.16	0.458E-9	156.47	0.651E-9	156.47	0.457E-9	179.4
800	0.183E-8	126.06	0.182E-8	126.92	0.267E-9	665.91	0.267E-9	625.62	0.401E-9	625.62	0.267E-9	717.64
Regression	$y=-1.02x-13.27$		$y=-1.02x-13.27$		$y=-1.2x-14.19$		$y=-1.04x-14.86$		$y=-1.2x-14.19$		$y=-1.2x-14.19$	
$C_f = 0.000202$												
HLL1-SI			HLL1-AT			HLL MUSCL-SI			HLL ENO-SI		HLL MUSCL-AT	
J	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]
50	0.269E-7	0.49	0.311E-7	0.51	0.426E-8	2.63	0.426E-8	2.74	0.557E-8	2.74	0.513E-8	2.81
100	0.138E-7	1.97	0.157E-7	2	0.181E-8	10.5	0.181E-8	10.89	0.197E-8	10.89	0.18E-8	11.23
200	0.707E-8	7.85	0.795E-8	7.97	0.984E-9	41.89	0.984E-9	43.46	0.899E-9	43.46	0.748E-9	44.77
400	0.365E-8	31.23	0.407E-8	31.84	0.505E-9	167.18	0.505E-9	173.43	0.499E-9	173.43	0.425E-9	180.52
800	0.192E-8	124.92	0.212E-8	127.31	0.283E-9	670.25	0.283E-9	694.69	0.327E-9	694.69	0.296E-9	718.3
Regression	$y=-0.95x-13.7$		$y=-0.97x-13.5$		$y=-1.02x-15.24$		$y=-1.02x-15.2$		$y=-1.03x-15.29$		$y=-1.03x-15.29$	
$C_f = 0.005053$												
HLL1-SI			HLL1-AT			HLL MUSCL-SI			HLL ENO-SI		HLL MUSCL-AT	
J	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]
50	0.26E-7	0.51	0.396E-7	0.52	0.162E-7	2.68	0.162E-7	2.79	0.159E-7	2.79	0.334E-7	2.81
100	0.146E-7	1.98	0.215E-7	2.03	0.916E-8	10.56	0.916E-8	11.03	0.899E-8	11.03	0.176E-7	11.2
200	0.79E-8	7.88	0.112E-7	8.01	0.494E-8	41.94	0.494E-8	43.71	0.486E-8	43.71	0.907E-8	44.69
400	0.411E-8	31.32	0.576E-8	31.9	0.257E-8	167.32	0.257E-8	174.25	0.253E-8	174.25	0.46E-8	178.64
800	0.21E-8	125.15	0.291E-8	127.18	0.131E-8	669.56	0.131E-8	693.64	0.129E-8	693.64	0.231E-8	714.29
Regression	$y=-0.91x-13.9$		$y=-0.94x-13.33$		$y=-0.91x-14.34$		$y=-0.91x-14.36$		$y=-0.96x-13.43$		$y=-0.96x-13.43$	

Table 1: The wave "damping":  $L^1$  errors on the discharge  $Q$  and CPU times  $t_{cpu}$  for  $C_f = 0.000022$ ,  $0.000202$  and  $0.005053$ . (AT) apparent topography, (SI) semi-implicit and (HLL1) first order scheme with HLL flux.




Figure 14: The damping of a discharge wave (a)  $C_f = 0$ ,  $\alpha = \infty$ , (b)  $C_f = 0.000022$ ,  $\alpha = 15.15$ , (c)  $C_f = 0.000202$ ,  $\alpha = 5$  and (d)  $C_f = 0.005053$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ . The friction has been treated with either the semi-implicit method (SI) or the apparent topography method (AT) and  $J = 800$ .

0.005053, corresponding to Womersley parameters  $\alpha = \infty$ ,  $\alpha = 15.15$ ,  $\alpha = 5$  and  $\alpha = 1$ ),  $J = 50, 100, 200, 400, 800$  cells,  $k = 1.10^8 \text{Pa/m}$ ,  $\rho = 1060 \text{m}^3$ ,  $R_0 = 4.10^{-3} \text{m}$ ,  $L = 3 \text{m}$ ,  $T_{end} = 25 \text{s}$ . As initial conditions, we take a fluid at rest  $Q(x, 0) = 0 \text{m}^3/\text{s}$  and as input boundary condition

$$Q_b(t) = Q_{amp} \sin(\omega t) \text{m}^3/\text{s}.$$

with  $\omega = 2\pi/T_{pulse} = 2\pi/0.5 \text{s}$  and  $Q_{amp} = 3.45.10^{-7} \text{m}^3/\text{s}$ . As the flow is subcritical, the discharge is imposed at the outflow boundary thanks to (53) with  $x = L$ .

In this part, we insist on the comparison between first order and second order. So, we compare first order (HLL1) and second order scheme with both MUSCL (HLL MUSCL) and ENO (HLL ENO) linear reconstruction. When  $C_f$  is not null, semi-implicit (SI) and apparent topography (AT) are compared. We should remark that as the friction increases the structure of the system (1) changes and goes from a transport/ wave behavior to a diffusive behavior. The diffusive behavior comes from the fact that as  $C_f$  increases, finally the momentum equation contains at leading order only the friction term and the elastic one:  $C_f Q_1/A_0 \sim -(A_0 k/\rho) \partial_x R$  and so, using the conservation of mass:

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial x^2} \text{ with } D = \frac{k\pi R_0^2}{2\rho C_f},$$

which is consistent with (51) at small  $\omega$ . However solving a "heat equation" with a "wave technique" seems to be adapted. Indeed, we notice a fair agreement between the numerics and the analytical solution in Figures 14a to 14d. "Errors made" between numerical results and the exact solution of the linearized system are given for information with CPU time in tables 1 and 2.

## 4.7 Discussion on numerical precision

Indeed, the notion of scheme order fully depends on the regularity of the solution. Moreover, calculating the error on the solution of the linearized system does not provide information from a numerical point of view (sections 4.3 and 4.6). Our purpose is not to verify the second order accuracy of the numerical method (it has already been verified for the Shallow Water applications in [8, 4, 22]). But these errors computations allow us to verify that for these configurations the nonlinear system has a linear behavior. And this linear behavior is perfectly captured by the scheme and the physical model. Precision is increased by increasing the order of the numerical method (as shown on Figure 6b and as illustrated in tables 1 and 2). The theoretical order of the schemes is not recovered for the wave test in section 4.3 (0.78 instead of 1 and 1.15 instead of 2) this is due to the regularity of the solution of the initial system. Indeed, this solution is only continuous. In addition, the system has no term of order 2, then the interest in using a second order method is to save computing time for a given accuracy (see Figure 15). The semi-implicit treatment and the apparent topography give closed results. However, when friction increases ( $C_f = 0.005053$ ), the semi-implicit method is closer to the linearised solution.




Figure 15: The wave "damping": The efficiency curves for  $C_f = 0.000202$ .

$C_f = 0$	HLL1		HLL MUSCL		HLL ENO	
J	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]	$\ Q - Q_{ex}\ _{L^1}$	$t_{cpu}$ [s]
50	0.314E-7	0.49	0.104E-7	2.63	0.11E-7	2.7
100	0.159E-7	1.93	0.508E-8	10.49	0.546E-8	10.7
200	0.801E-8	7.7	0.238E-8	40.93	0.263E-8	42.6
400	0.397E-8	30.93	0.103E-8	163.47	0.118E-8	170.32
800	0.192E-8	123.28	0.36E-9	654.64	0.433E-9	681.26
Regression	$y = -1.007x - 13.32$		$y = -1.2x - 13.59$		$y = -1.155x - 13.72$	

Table 2: The wave "damping":  $L^1$  errors on the discharge  $Q$  and CPU times  $t_{cpu}$  for  $C_f = 0$ .

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we have considered the classical 1-D model of flow in an artery, we have presented a new numerical scheme and some numerical tests. The new proposed scheme has been obtained in following recent advances in the shallow-water Saint-Venant community. This community has been confronted to spurious flows induced by the change of topography in not well written schemes. In blood-flows, it corresponds to the treatment of the terms due to a varying initial shape of the artery, which arises is stenosis, aneurisms, or taper. To write the method, we have insisted on the fact that the effective conservative variables are: the artery area  $A$  and the discharge  $Q$ , which was not clearly observed up to now. The obtained conservative system has been then discretized in order to use the property of equilibrium of "the man at eternal rest" analogous to the "lake at rest" in Saint-Venant equations. If this property is not preserved in the numerical stencil, spurious currents arise in the case of a varying vessel. For sake of illustration, if the terms are treated in a too simple way, we have exhibited a case with an aneurism which induces a non zero flux of blood  $Q$ . In a pulsatile case, the same configuration creates extra waves as well. An analogous of dam break (here a tourniquet) was used to validate the other terms of the discretisation. Other less demanding examples have been performed: linear waves without and with damping in straight tubes. Good behaviour is obtained in those pertinent test cases that explore all the parts of the equations.

The well-balanced finite volume scheme that we propose preserves the vol-

ume of blood and avoids non physical case behavior. Thus we get a stable scheme and the accuracy is improved thanks to a second order reconstruction. The numerical method has been tested on examples which are more "gedanken" than physiological. This means that we only did a first necessary step. The next one is now to test more complex cases such as bifurcations, special boundary conditions and so on, in order to confront and fit to real practical clinical cases one of them being the case of aneurisms, in which as well we can introduce a source term in the mass equation in the case of leaks, we conclude that to look at those difficult problems, a careful treatment of the numerical scheme is important.

We thank ENDOCOM ANR for financial support, we thank Marie-Odile Bristeau and Christophe Berthon for fruitful discussions.

## References

- [1] J. Alastruey, K. H. Parker, J. Peiró, and S. J. Sherwin. Lumped parameter outflow models for 1-d blood flow simulations: Effect on pulse waves and parameter estimation. *Commun. Comp. Phys.*, 4:317–336, 2008.
- [2] E. Audusse. Schémas cinétiques pour le système de Saint-Venant. Master's thesis, Université Paris 6, 1999.
- [3] E. Audusse, F. Bouchut, M.-O. Bristeau, R. Klein, and B. Perthame. A fast and stable well-balanced scheme with hydrostatic reconstruction for shallow water flows. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 25(6):2050–2065, 2004.
- [4] Emmanuel Audusse and Marie-Odile Bristeau. A well-balanced positivity preserving "second-order" scheme for shallow water flows on unstructured meshes. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 206:311–333, 2005.
- [5] Alfredo Bermúdez, Alain Dervieux, Jean-Antoine Desideri, and M. Elena Vázquez. Upwind schemes for the two-dimensional shallow water equations with variable depth using unstructured meshes. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 155(1-2):49 – 72, 1998.
- [6] Alfredo Bermudez and M. Elena Vazquez. Upwind methods for hyperbolic conservation laws with source terms. *Computers & Fluids*, 23(8):1049 – 1071, 1994.
- [7] Christophe Berthon and Frédéric Coquel. Nonlinear projection methods for multi-entropies navier-stokes systems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 76:1163–1194, 2007.
- [8] François Bouchut. *Nonlinear stability of finite volume methods for hyperbolic conservation laws, and well-balanced schemes for sources*, volume 2/2004. Birkhäuser Basel, 2004.
- [9] François Bouchut and Tomás Morales. A subsonic-well-balanced reconstruction scheme for shallow water flows. <http://www.math.ntnu.no/conservation/2009/032.html>, 2009. Preprint.

- [10] François Bouchut, Haythem Ounaissa, and Benoît Perthame. Upwinding of the source term at interfaces for euler equations with high friction. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 53:361—375, 2007.
- [11] Marie-Odile Bristeau and Benoît Coussin. Boundary conditions for the shallow water equations solved by kinetic schemes. Technical Report 4282, INRIA, October 2001.
- [12] J. Burguete, P. García-Navarro, and J. Murillo. Friction term discretization and limitation to preserve stability and conservation in the 1d shallow-water model: Application to unsteady irrigation and river flow. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 58(4):403–425, 2008.
- [13] Manuel J. Castro, Philippe G. LeFloch, María Luz Muñoz Ruiz, and Carlos Parés. Why many theories of shock waves are necessary: Convergence error in formally path-consistent schemes. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(17):8107–8129, 2008.
- [14] Manuel J. Castro, Alberto Pardo Milanés, and Carlos Parés. Well-balanced numerical schemes based on a generalized hydrostatic reconstruction technique. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 17(12):2065–2113, 2007.
- [15] N. Cavallini, V. Caleffi, and V. Coscia. Finite volume and weno scheme in one-dimensional vascular system modelling. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 56:2382–2397, 2008.
- [16] Nicola Cavallini and Vincenzo Coscia. One-dimensional modelling of venous pathologies: Finite volume and weno schemes. In Rolf Rannacher and Adélia Sequeira, editors, *Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, pages 147–170. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [17] Ashwin Chinnayya and A.-Y. LeRoux. A new General Riemann Solver for the Shallow Water equations, with friction and topography. <http://www.math.ntnu.no/conservation/1999/021.html>, 1999. Preprint.
- [18] Adhémar Jean-Claude de Saint Venant. Théorie du mouvement non-permanent des eaux, avec application aux crues des rivières et à l'introduction des marées dans leur lit. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 73:147–154, 1871.
- [19] Olivier Delestre. *Simulation du ruissellement d'eau de pluie sur des surfaces agricoles/ rain water overland flow on agricultural fields simulation*. PhD thesis, Université d'Orléans (in French), available from TEL: [tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/INSMI/tel-00531377/fr](http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/INSMI/tel-00531377/fr), July 2010.
- [20] Olivier Delestre, Stéphane Cordier, François James, and Frédéric Darboux. Simulation of rain-water overland-flow. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Hyperbolic Problems*, University of Maryland, College Park (USA), 2008, E. Tadmor, J.-G. Liu and A. Tzavaras Eds., *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics* 67, Amer. Math. Soc., 537–546, 2009.



- [21] Olivier Delestre and François James. Simulation of rainfall events and overland flow. In *Proceedings of X International Conference Zaragoza-Pau on Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, Jaca, Spain, september 2008, Monografías Matemáticas García de Galdeano, 2009.
- [22] Olivier Delestre and Fabien Marche. A numerical scheme for a viscous shallow water model with friction. *J. Sci. Comput.*, DOI 10.1007/s10915-010-9393-y, 2010.
- [23] Denys Dutykh. *Modélisation mathématique des tsunamis*. PhD thesis, École normale supérieure de Cachan, 2007.
- [24] M. A. Fernández, J.-F. Gerbeau, and C. Grandmont. A projection semi-implicit scheme for the coupling of an elastic structure with an incompressible fluid. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 69(4):794–821, 2007.
- [25] Miguel Ángel Fernández, Vuk Milišić, and Alfio Quarteroni. Analysis of a geometrical multiscale blood flow model based on the coupling of ode’s and hyperbolic pde’s. Technical Report 5127, INRIA, February 2004.
- [26] R. F. Fiedler and J. A. Ramirez. A numerical method for simulating discontinuous shallow flow over an infiltrating surface. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 32:219–240, 2000.
- [27] Luca Formaggia, Daniele Lamponi, Massimiliano Tuveri, and Alessandro Veneziani. Numerical modeling of 1d arterial networks coupled with a lumped parameters description of the heart. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 9:273–288, 2006.
- [28] Jose-Maria Fullana and Stéphane Zaleski. A branched one-dimensional model of vessel networks. *J. Fluid. Mech.*, 621:183–204, 2009.
- [29] T. Gallouët, J.-M. Hérard, and N. Seguin. Some approximate godunov schemes to compute shallow-water equations with topography. *Computers & Fluids*, 32:479–513, 2003.
- [30] L. Gosse. A well-balanced flux-vector splitting scheme designed for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws with source terms. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 39(9-10):135 – 159, 2000.
- [31] N. Goutal and F. Maurel. A finite volume solver for 1D shallow-water equations applied to an actual river. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 38:1–19, 2002.
- [32] J. M. Greenberg and A.-Y. LeRoux. A well-balanced scheme for the numerical processing of source terms in hyperbolic equation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 33:1–16, 1996.
- [33] Ami Harten, Bjorn Engquist, Stanley Osher, and Sukumar R. Chakravarthy. Uniformly High Order Accurate Essentially Non-oscillatory Schemes, III. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 71:231–303, August 1987.

- [34] Ami Harten, Stanley Osher, Bjorn Engquist, and Sukumar R. Chakravarthy. Some results on uniformly high-order accurate essentially nonoscillatory schemes. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 2(3-5):347 – 377, 1986. Special Issue in Honor of Milt Rose’s Sixtieth Birthday.
- [35] Amiram Harten, Peter D. Lax, and Bram van Leer. On upstream differencing and godunov-type schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws. *SIAM Review*, 25(1):35–61, January 1983.
- [36] Thomas Y. Hou and Philippe G. LeFloch. Why nonconservative schemes converge to wrong solutions: error analysis. *Mathematics of Computation*, 62(206):497–530, April 1994.
- [37] Shi Jin. A steady-state capturing method for hyperbolic systems with geometrical source terms. *M2AN*, 35(4):631–645, July 2001.
- [38] Robert Kirkman, Tony Moore, and Charlie Adlard. *The Walking Dead*. Image Comics, 2003.
- [39] Pijush K. Kundu and Ira M. Cohen. *Fluid Mechanics, Third Edition*. 2004.
- [40] Pijush K. Kundu and Ira M. Cohen. *Fluid Mechanics, Fourth Edition*. 2008.
- [41] Alexander Kurganov and Doron Levy. Central-upwind schemes for the saint-venant system. *Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 36:397–425, 2002.
- [42] Pierre-Yves Lagrée. An inverse technique to deduce the elasticity of a large artery. *The European Physical Journal*, 9:153–163, 2000.
- [43] Pierre-Yves Lagrée and Sylvie Lorthois. The rns/prandtl equations and their link with other asymptotic descriptions. application to the computation of the maximum value of the wall shear stress in a pipe. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, 43/3–4:352–378, 2005.
- [44] Pierre-Yves Lagrée and Maurice Rossi. Etude de l’écoulement du sang dans les artères: effets nonlinéaires et dissipatifs. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t322, Série II b*, pages 401–408, 1996.
- [45] Sang-Heon Lee and Nigel G. Wright. Simple and efficient solution of the shallow water equations with source terms. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 63:313–340, 2010.
- [46] Randall J. LeVeque. *Numerical methods for conservation laws*. Lectures in mathematics ETH Zurich. 1992.
- [47] Randall J. LeVeque. Balancing source terms and flux gradients in high-resolution godunov methods: The quasi-steady wave-propagation algorithm. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 146(1):346 – 365, 1998.
- [48] Randall J. LeVeque. *Finite volume methods for hyperbolic problems*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

- [49] Qiuhua Liang and Fabien Marche. Numerical resolution of well-balanced shallow water equations with complex source terms. *Advances in Water Resources*, 32(6):873 – 884, 2009.
- [50] James Lighthill. *Waves in Fluids*. 1978.
- [51] M. Lukáčová-Medvid'ová and U. Teschke. Comparison study of some finite volume and finite element methods for the shallow water equations with bottom topography and friction terms. *J. Appl. Mech. Math. (ZAMM)*, 86(11):874–891, 2006.
- [52] M. Lukáčová-Medvid'ová and Z. Vlk. Well-balanced finite volume evolution Galerkin methods for the shallow water equations with source terms. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 47(10-11):1165–1171, 2005.
- [53] A. Mangeney, F. Bouchut, N. Thomas, J. P. Vilotte, and M.-O. Bristeau. Numerical modeling of self-channeling granular flow and of their levee-channel deposits. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(F2):F02017, May 2007.
- [54] A. Mangeney-Castelnau, F. Bouchut, J. P. Vilotte, E. Lajeunesse, A. Aubertin, and M. Pirulli. On the use of saint-venant equations to simulate the spreading of a granular mass. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110:B09103, 2005.
- [55] Emilie Marchandise, Nicolas Chevaugneon, and Jean-François Remacle. Spatial and spectral superconvergence of discontinuous galerkin method for hyperbolic problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 215(2):484–494, 2008. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Advanced Computational Methods in Engineering (ACOMEN 2005)., Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Advanced Computational Methods in Engineering (ACOMEN 2005).
- [56] F. Marche, P. Bonneton, P. Fabrie, and N. Seguin. Evaluation of well-balanced bore-capturing schemes for 2D wetting and drying processes. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 53:867–894, 2007.
- [57] Fabien Marche and Christophe Berthon. A positive preserving high order vof scheme for shallow water equations: A class of relaxation schemes. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 30(5):pp. 2587–2612, August 2008. 75M12, 35L65, 65M12.
- [58] Vincent Martin, François Clément, Astrid Decoene, and Jean-Frédéric Gerbeau. Parameter identification for a one-dimensional blood flow model. In Eric Cancès & Jean-Frédéric Gerbeau, editor, *ESAIM: PROCEEDINGS*, volume 14, pages 174–200, September 2005.
- [59] Sebastian Noelle, Normann Pankratz, Gabriella Puppo, and Jostein R. Natvig. Well-balanced finite volume schemes of arbitrary order of accuracy for shallow water flows. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 213(2):474 – 499, 2006.

- [60] Sebastian Noelle, Yulong Xing, and Chi Wang Shu. High-order well-balanced finite volume weno schemes for shallow water equation with moving water. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 226(1):29 – 58, 2007.
- [61] Hilary Ockendon and Alan B. Tayler. *Inviscid Fluid Flows*. 1983.
- [62] Mette S. Olufsen. Structured tree outflow condition for blood flow in larger systemic arteries. *American Journal of Physiology – Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 276:257–268, 1999.
- [63] Mette S. Olufsen, Charles S. Peskin, Won Yong Kim, Erik M. Pedersen, Ali Nadim, and Jesper Larsen. Numerical simulation and experimental validation of blood flow in arteries with structured-tree outflow conditions. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 28:1281–1299, 2000.
- [64] Kim H. Parker. A brief history of arterial wave mechanics. *Med Biol Eng Comput*, 47:111–118, February 2009.
- [65] T. J. Pedley. *The Fluid Mechanics of Large Blood Vessel*. 1980.
- [66] B. Perthame and C. Simeoni. A kinetic scheme for the Saint-Venant system with a source term. *Calcolo*, 38:201–231, 2001. 10.1007/s10092-001-8181-3.
- [67] Maciej Z. Pindera, Hui Ding, Mahesh M. Athavale, and Zhijian Chen. Accuracy of 1d microvascular flow models in the limit of low reynolds numbers. *Microvascular Research*, 77(3):273–280, 2009.
- [68] Stéphane Popinet. Quadtree-adaptative tsunami modelling. *Ocean Dynamics*, pages 1–25, May 2011.
- [69] Masashi Saito, Yuki Ikenaga, Mami Matsukawa, Yoshiaki Watanabe, Takaaki Asada, and Pierre-Yves Lagrée. One-dimensional model for propagation of a pressure wave in a model of the human arterial network: Comparison of theoretical and experimental. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 133, December 2011.
- [70] Joe Sampson, Alan Easton, and Manmohan Singh. Modelling the effect of proposed channel deepening on the tides in Port Phillip Bay. 2005.
- [71] S. J. Sherwin, L. Formaggia, J. Peiró, and V. Franke. Computational modelling of 1d blood flow with variable mechanical properties and its application to the simulation of wave propagation in the human arterial system. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 43:673–700, 2003.
- [72] C.-W. Shu and Stanley Osher. Efficient implementation of essentially non-oscillatory shock-capturing schemes. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 77(2):439 – 471, 1988.
- [73] N. Stergiopoulos, D. F. Young, and T. R. Rogge. Computer simulation of arterial flow with applications to arterial and aortic stenoses. *J. Biomechanics*, 25(12):1477–1488, 1992.
- [74] J. J. Stoker. *Water Waves: The Mathematical Theory with Applications*. Interscience Publishers, New York, USA, 1957.

- [75] L. Tatard, O. Planchon, J. Wainwright, G. Nord, D. Favis-Mortlock, N. Silveira, O. Ribolzi, M. Esteves, and Chi-Hua Huang. Measurement and modelling of high-resolution flow-velocity data under simulated rainfall on a low-slope sandy soil. *Journal of Hydrology*, 348(1-2):1–12, January 2008.
- [76] M. D. Thanh, Md. Fazlul Karim, and A. I. Md. Ismail. Well-balanced scheme for shallow water equations with arbitrary topography. *Int. J. Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations*, 1(3):196–204, 2008.
- [77] A. Valiani, V. Caleffi, and A. Zanni. Finite volume scheme for 2D shallow-water equations. Application to Malpasset dam-break. In *the 4<sup>th</sup> CADAM Workshop, Zaragoza*, pages 63–94, 1999.
- [78] A. Valiani, V. Caleffi, and A. Zanni. Case Study : Malpasset Dam-Break Simulation using a Two-Dimensional Finite Volume Methods. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 128(5):460–472, May 2002.
- [79] F.N. van de Vosse, J. de Hart, C.H.G.A. van Oijen, and D. Bessems. Finite-element-based computational methods for cardiovascular fluid-structure interaction. *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, 47:335–368, 2003.
- [80] Bram van Leer. Towards the ultimate conservative difference scheme. V. A second-order sequel to Godunov’s method. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 32(1):101 – 136, 1979.
- [81] A. A. Van Steenhoven and M. E. H. Van Dongen. Model studies of the aortic pressure rise just after valve closure. *J. Fluid. Mech.*, 166:93–113, 1986.
- [82] M. E. Vázquez-Cendón. Improved treatment of source terms in upwind schemes for the shallow water equations in channels with irregular geometry. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 148:497–526, 1999.
- [83] Michael Wibmer. *One-dimensional Simulation of Arterial Blood Flow with Applications*. PhD thesis, eingereicht an der Technischen Universität Wien – Fakultät für Technische Naturwissenschaften und Informatik, January 2004.
- [84] Marie Willemet, Valérie Lacroix, and Emilie Marchandise. Inlet boundary conditions for blood flow simulations in truncated arterial networks. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 44(5):897–903, 2011.
- [85] J.R. Womersley. On the oscillatory motion of a viscous liquid in thin-walled elastic tube: I. *Philos. Mag.*, 46:199–221, 1955.
- [86] Dongbin Xiu and Spencer J. Sherwin. Parametric uncertainty analysis of pulse wave propagation in a model of a human arterial network. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 226:1385–1407, 2007.
- [87] M. Zagzoule, J. Khalid-Naciri, and J. Mauss. Unsteady wall shear stress in a distensible tube. *J. Biomechanics*, 24(6):435–439, 1991.
- [88] Mokhtar Zagzoule and Jean-Pierre Marc-Vergnes. A global mathematical model of the cerebral circulation in man. *J. Biomechanics*, 19(12):1015–1022, 1986.